

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/657,145	09/09/2003	David Alexander	1MMR-1MD0002D (034701-005	1899
	immersion -Thelen reid brown raysman & Steiner LLP P.O. BOX 640640 San Jose, Ca 95164-0640		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 6406			MUSSELMAN, TIMOTHY A	
SAN JOSE, CA	X 93104-0040		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary							
		10/657,145	ALEXANDER ET AL.				
	,,	Examiner TIMOTHY MUSSELMAN	Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period fo	Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS INSTRUCTION OF A SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Ma</u>	<u>arch 2008</u> .					
′=	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x pane Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 48	03 U.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🛛	4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
·	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18 and 34-35,37-38</u> is/are allowed.						
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12,16,17,19 and 20</u> is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) <u>13-15.</u> is/are objected to.	r election requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	• •						
	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) 🔯 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F					
Раре	er No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/08</u> .	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

In response to the communication filed 3/3/2008, claims 12-20, 34-35, and 37-38 are pending in this application. Claims 1-11, 21-33, 36, and 39-44 have been cancelled previously.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of the relevant portion of 35 U.S.C. 103 that forms the basis for the rejections made in this section of the office action;

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Claims 12, 16-17 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bailey (US 5,800,179) in view of Jones (US 1,642,151).

Regarding claim 12, Bailey discloses a capture mechanism configured to engage a peripheral device, and a sensing assembly to detect movement of the peripheral device while engaged. See col. 5: 25-35. Bailey fails to disclose any details pertaining to the clamping apparatus. Thus, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to consider systems directed towards quick and convenient clamping of peripheral objects. One such device is the simple mouse trap disclosed by Jones. Jones discloses a device to clamp a peripheral object, the device comprising a plurality of jaws which close around the peripheral object (mouse) in response to the longitudinal movement of the triggering mechanism

Art Unit: 3714

(actuator) from a first position to a second position, the second position allowing for the closure of the jaws on the object. See page 2, col. 2, lines 49-61. Note that it is the peripheral object (mouse) that forces the triggering of the device. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize this type of clamping mechanism in the system of Bailey in order to improve the device using clamping mechanisms known in the art as taught by Jones, to allow for the quick connection of various surgical tools.

Regarding claim 16, Bailey further discloses wherein the capture mechanism is disposed within the sensing assembly. See col. 5: 30-37.

Regarding claims 17 and 19-20, Jones discloses wherein the plurality of jaws of the trap are automatically actuated by force applied to a lever to close the jaws and engage the peripheral object. See page 1, col. 1, lines 21-29. The use of this clamping system of Jones in the system of Bailey would have been obvious for the reasons set forth with regard to claim 12 above.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 13-15 are objected to as being dependent on a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Although the prior art teaches of a tubular member for grasping the peripheral device, they do not include an actuator that elongates and compresses the tubular grasping member by use of a spring to expand or constrict (grasp or release) the grasping mechanism to grasp the peripheral device.

Claim 18 is indicated as allowable. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Although the prior art teaches of engaging a peripheral device configured as a medical instrument within a sensing assembly in a medical trainer, there is no teaching wherein the capture

Art Unit: 3714

mechanism comprises a plurality of jaws that are expanded by a conical expander to allow for insertion of the peripheral device, and wherein a spring biases the conical expander to maintain capture mechanism in a closed position, so that when the expander is actuated, the jaws close and grasp the peripheral device.

Claims 34 is indicated as allowable. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Although the prior art teaches of a clamping mechanism that engages and releases a peripheral device based on a first and second movement of the peripheral device, there is no teaching wherein such a clamping structure comprising a plurality of jaws *releases* said peripheral device based on a *second movement* of the peripheral device, as per claim 36, and there is no teaching wherein said plurality of jaws is *automatically* operated by a lever (claims 37-38).

Claims 35 and 37-38 are indicated as allowable at least because they depend from an allowable base claim.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments dated 3/3/2008 have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action

Application/Control Number: 10/657,145 Page 5

Art Unit: 3714

is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX

MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Timothy Musselman whose telephone number is (571)272-1814. The examiner can

normally be reached on Mon-Thu 6:00AM - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Robert Pezzuto can be reached on (571)272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where

this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative

or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-

1000.

/T. M./

Acting Examiner of Art Unit 3714

/ROBERT E PEZZUTO/ Supervisory Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3714